

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

							
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/671,125	71,125 09/25/2003		Alexander Ehler	71095	7270		
23872	7590	12/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MCGLEW	& TUTT	LE, PC	SINGH, SUNIL				
1 SCARBO	ROUGH S	TATION PLAZA					
SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3673			

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/671,125	EHLER ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	11,1					
	Sunil Singh	3673	My					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, mmunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 Se<i>ptember</i> 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
<u> </u>	a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	450)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO	-192)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Da	te 20041210					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/671,125 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 the mention of "preamble of the principal claim" should be omitted. Since during prosecution the scope of the claims can change and it is not clear what is considered as the principal claim. At page 17, "the edge mini-disk bit 8 at the additional conveying screws 18,19,20,21" appear to be incorrect since disk bit 9 is on the additional conveying screws. Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Applicant should submit reference disclosed on page 12 of the specification so examiner can make a full determination of patentability of the claims.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show reference character "27" as described in the specification (page 19). Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with

Art Unit: 3673

37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the additional conveying screws called for in claims 3,10, 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Page 4

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the mini-disk arranged directly on the cutting roller as called for in claims 6 and 13 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Art Unit: 3673

of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 3, 6,10, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 3,10 and 17 call for "the frustum length of be higher by the depth of penetration of the mini-disk bits in the two edge areas on the frustum length than in the additional conveying screws in the middle wall area" was never described in the specification adequately; therefore one skilled in the art cannot make and/or use the inventions as claimed. Claims 6 and 13 call "behind the cutting roller ... cutting line distance" was never described adequately; therefore one skilled in the art cannot make and/or use the invention as claimed.

Claim 15, "mini-disk bits in each frusta area being directed inwardly" is new matter.

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are replete with indefiniteness and antecedent basis errors that are too numerous to mention each one specifically. Applicant should check **all claims** and correct all errors. Some examples are noted:

Claim 1, it is unclear how the "mining member", "cutting roller", "roller body" and "roller jacket" all related.

Claim 1 line 19+, "roller equipped with said ... rotation of the roller" is awkward and confusing.

Claim 1 lines 21-22, "the two frusta" is recited; at line 13 "outwardly tapering frusta" is recited; it appears that they are the same therefore they should be related.

Claim 2 lines 1-2, "mini-disk bits on said two halves of the wall are conveying screws" is awkward and confusing. Does not correspond to description at page 16 of specification. Claim 3, "the additional conveying screws" lacks clear antecedent basis; furthermore, the additional conveying screws were never shown or disclosed in the specification as being in the middle wall area.

Claim 4 line 1 "that the roller body" is awkward.

Claim 6 line 3, "either directly on the cutting roller ... the cutting line distance" is confusing, lacks antecedent basis in the specification and all the alternatives were never

Application/Control Number: 10/671,125 Page 7

Art Unit: 3673

shown in the drawings. Furthermore, "said additional conveying screws" lacks clear antecedent basis. (Emphasis added), "behind the cutting roller..." is never described in the specification to enable one to understand the claimed invention.

Claim 7 "said axis of" lacks clear antecedent basis and is confusing.

Claim 10 "the additional conveying screws" lacks clear antecedent basis; furthermore, the additional conveying screws were never shown or disclosed in the specification as being in the middle wall area.

Claim 11 line 1 "that the roller body" is awkward.

Claim 13 line 3, "either directly on the cutting roller ... the cutting line distance" is confusing, lacks antecedent basis in the specification and all the alternatives were never shown in the drawings. Furthermore, "said additional conveying screws" lacks clear antecedent basis. (Emphasis added), "behind the cutting roller..." is never described in the specification to enable one to understand the claimed invention.

Claim 14 "said axis of" lacks clear antecedent basis and is confusing.

Claim 15 line 17+, "having wedge ... other half of said cylindrical middle area" is confusing. Furthermore, "said min-disk bits in each frusta area being directed inwardly" appears incorrect and possible constitute new matter. It appears that it should be the wedge flank that is directed inwardly.

Claim 16 "the wall are conveying screws" is confusing. Furthermore, "the wall" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim 17 is similarly rejected as claims 3 and 10 above.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/671,125

Art Unit: 3673

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sunil Singh whose telephone number is (703) 308-4024.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sunil Singh
Primary Examiner Juliu 17-9
Art Unit 3673

Page 8

12/10/04